



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,672	01/15/2004	Hui-Quan Han	01017/35966B	1279	
4743 7:	4743 7590 08/01/2005			EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEARS TOWER			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/758,672	HAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9,12-14,16-18,40-45,49,50 and 58 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>9,12-14,16-18,40-45,49,50 and 58</u> are	e subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.				
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		, .				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	асел: Арріісацоп (ГТО-132) ,				

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a divisional of 09/724,126 now US Patent 6,706,505.

The preliminary amendment filed January 15, 2004 amending the specification to insert the reference to prior applications and to correct typographical errors, canceling claims 1-8, 10, 11, 15, 19-39, 46-48, 51-57 and 59-66 and amending claims 9, 12-14, 16 and 17 has been entered.

The status inquiries filed January 18, 2005 and July 5, 2005 are acknowledged.

Claims 9, 12-14, 16-18, 40-45, 49, 50 and 58 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 9, drawn to a method of producing a huE3α polypeptide using a cell transformed with a DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 183.
- II. Claim 12 (in part), drawn to a method for determining whether a compound inhibits a huE3α polypeptide activity, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- III. Claim 12 (in part), drawn to a method for determining whether a compound inhibits a huE3α polypeptide production, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Art Unit: 1652

IV. Claims 13, 14, 16-18, 40-45, 49 and 50, drawn to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, a composition and a fusion protein comprising thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 183.

V. Claim 58, drawn to a method of identifying a compound which binds to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

A polypeptide of Group IV and a DNA used in methods of Groups I and III are divergent molecules having different structures, functions and utilities. The nucleic acid molecule use in inventions I and III can be used for the production of huE3 α polypeptide of Group I as well as a hybridization probe in a method of Group III. The huE3 α polypeptide of invention IV can be used in a method of Group II as a huE3 α polypeptide, in a method of Group V and for the production of an antibody, for example.

Inventions IV and II, V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 can be used in a method of Group II

Art Unit: 1652

as a huE3 α polypeptide, in a method of Group V and for the production of an antibody, for example.

The methods of Groups I, II, III and V are patentably distinct because they employ patentably distinct compounds such as a polypeptide and a polynucleotide and/or have different protocols, comprise different steps, use different compounds, are carried out under different conditions and have different utilities.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Dr. Lynn Janulis on July 13, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1652

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder

Art Unit: 1652

in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD whose telephone number is 571-272-0941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652